RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 3 2007

Remark

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 1, 6-9, 12-14, 16, 17 and 25-28 have been amended. Claims 2-5, 10-11, 15 and 18-24 have been cancelled. Claims 29-41 have been added as New. Therefore, claims 1, 6-9, 12-14, 16-17 and 25-41 are present for examination.

Introduction

The Examiner has indicated that "simultaneous wireless communications sessions" does not render the claims allowable. This limitation was added to the claims with the first amendment. While Applicants continue to disagree with this position, in the interests of advancing prosecution, this limitation has been deleted from the claims. The claims are amended above to more closely resemble the originally filed claims. In addition, the nature of the AVPs, the information that they convey and their appropriate use has been brought out more clearly. These new limitations are believed to clearly distinguish the present invention from the many references.

New Claims

New claims 31-40 are closely based on claims 1-17, but expressed as method and machine-readable medium. It would appear that method claims have not been previously presented. These claims are allowable for the reasons provided below for the amended claims and are not believed to raise any new issues for further consideration or search.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,577,644 issued to Chuah et al (Chuah) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0116501 attributed to Ho et al. (Ho) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,769,000 issued to Akhtar et al. (Akhtar).

Chuah only shows a few AVPs, notwithstanding the statement cited by the Examiner at Column 8, lines 4-6. The principal ones are a hidden AVP to indicate a transmit or receive window size (Col. 8, lines 67 et seq.) and a user AVP information (Col. 12, lines 26 et seq.) However, neither of these posses the specific details nor the rich details that are presented in the amended claims. Ho is not cited for AVPs specifically and Akhtar, while it shows many AVPs, does not show the specific details nor the rich details that are presented in the amended claims.

Other Rejections

The remaining claims stand rejected on combination of Chuah with Ho, Akhtar, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,917,600 issued to Chuah et al. (Chuah2), U.S. Patent No. 6,915,345 issued to Tummala et al. (Tummala), or U.S. Patent No. 7,096,261 issued to Abrol et al. (Abrol). Claims 15-19 are canceled. As to the remaining claims, the rejections all rely on the rejection discussed above and are believed to be traversed on similar grounds.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 3 2007

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections have been overcome by the amendment and remark, and that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn and the claims as amended be allowed.

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: August 13, 2007

Gordon R. Lindeen III

Reg. No. 33,192

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Application No. 10/003,165 Atty. Docket No. 15685P078C